

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/429,643	10/29/1999	EDMUND COLBY MUNGER	00479.84602	6165	
7590 02/11/2004		EXAMINER			
BANNER & V	VITCOFF LTD	CHOUDHARY, ANITA			
1001 G ST NW 11TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 200014597			2153	10	
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/429,643		MUNGER ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
• 1		Anita Cho	udhary	2153				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOn insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by started the provided by the Office later than three months after the material part of	N. R 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the state iod will apply and wi atute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tinutory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	eation.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	4 November 2	<u>003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) T	his action is n	on-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-20,22-24,26-42,44-51,53,68-4a</u> ) Of the above claim(s) <u>85-97</u> is/are withd Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-19,23,24,26-41 and 45-49</u> is Claim(s) <u>20,22,42,44,50,51,53 and 68-70</u> is Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>85-97</u> are subject to restriction and	rawn from cor /are allowed. /are rejected.	sideration.	application.				
Applicat	on Papers			·				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 08 March 2000 is/ard	е: а)⊠ ассер	ted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		•	, ,				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		` '			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have bee ents have bee riority docume eau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	·			
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔯 Infon	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/r No(s)/Mail Date 2,4,5,6,8,9,11.			atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2153

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on November 14, 2003 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been entered. Claims 1, 2,4, 6-10, 12, 13, 15-17,19, 20, 23, 24, 26-32, 34,35,37-39, 41, 42, 44-50, 68-70 have been amended and are presented for further examination. Claims 3, 21, 25, 43, and 52 have been cancelled. New claims 85-97 are presented.

Claims 1, 2, 4-20, 22-24, 26-42, 44-51, 53, 68-70 and 85-97 are presented.

#### Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 85-97 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claims 85-97 are directed towards both a first and second node transmitting over a network in a two-way communications system which is a separate from the original claims that present only a first computer transmitting to a second computer.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 85-97 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20, 42, 50, and 68 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2153

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 20, 22, 42, 44, and 68-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Thalheimer et al (US 5,996,016). Thalheimer shows address aliasing, a feature that allows multiple addresses to be assigned to a single network interface (col. 1 lines 38-40). Thalheimer shows:

In referring to claim 20, Thalheimer shows, for each of a plurality of data packets, randomly selecting one of the plurality of physical transmission paths though the plurality of computers (col. 4 lines 25-45).

Selecting a next pair of source and destination network addresses generated from an algorithm that generates plurality of source and destination network addresses each associated with one physical transmission path (col. 4 lines 25-45).

In referring to claim 22, Thalheimer shows avoiding selection of path that is not operational (fig. 3).

In referring to claim 42, Thalheimer shows:

Router (fig. 4, 52) receiving plurality of packets for transmission.

Art Unit: 2153

Wherein router randomly selects one of a plurality of physical transmission paths through the plurality of computers and randomly transmitting each data packet over the randomly selected path (col. 5 lines 45-61).

Using a pair of source and destination network addresses generated from an algorithm that generates plurality of source and destination network addresses each associated with one physical transmission path (col. 4 lines 25-64).

In referring to claim 44, Thalheimer shows avoiding selection of path that is not operational (fig. 3).

In referring to claim 68, Thalheimer shows transmitting computer (20) that transmits data packets to a receiving computer over network, wherein the transmitted computer comprises instructions that execute the step of, for each transmitted data packet, inserting into a header of the data packet a network address for extraction by the receiving node, wherein the network address is used to route data packets over the network and is generated using an algorithm that selects the network address randomly from a plurality of network addresses that are each mapped to the receiving computer (col. 4 lines 25-64).

In referring to claim 69, Thalheimer shows using IP network address (col. 4 lines 34-41).

In referring to claim 70, Thalheimer the transmitting computer transmits information to the receiving computer sufficient to establish a set of valid network address (col. 5 lines 14-33).

Art Unit: 2153

Claims 50, 51, and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shannon (6,233,618).

In referring to claim 50, Shannon shows an access control of data on a network. Shannon discloses:

- O A receiving computer (network device 100) that receives data packets from a transmitting computer (client) executing the steps for:
- Extracting a discriminatory value inserted by the transmitting computer and comparing the extracted value to a set of valid discriminatory values on the basis of information previously shared with the transmitted computer (database information) (col. 13 lines 34-51).
- o In response to a detected match, accepting the received data packet for further processing and otherwise rejecting the data packet (col. 13 lines 52-65).
- Receiving computer maintains a window (table at the database) of valid values,
   wherein the window is moved (moves to table 2) in response to detecting matches.

In referring to claim 51, extracting as the discriminatory value an IP address from the header portion of the data packet (fig. 3, col. 13 lines 37-51).

In referring to claim 53, receiving computer receives information from the transmitting computer sufficient to establish the set of valid discriminatory values (col. 6 lines 28-47).

Art Unit: 2153

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rochberger et al. (US 6,061,736) in view of Thalheimer et al (US 5,996,016).

Rochberger shows a router coupled to a plurality of computers connected to each other through physical transmission paths. Rochberger shows the steps for:

Router randomly selecting one of a plurality of physical transmission paths through the plurality of computers and randomly transmitting each data packet over the randomly selected path (see abstract, col. 2 lines 41-59, col. 4 lines 1-10).

Although Rochberger shows substantial features of the claimed invention,
Rochberger does not show using a pair of source and destination network addresses
generated from an algorithm that generates a plurality of pairs of source and destination
addresses each associated with the one randomly selected physical transmission path.

Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art, and would have been an obvious
modification to the system disclosed by Rochberger as evidenced by Thalheimer.

In an analogous art, Thalheimer shows a system for a single network adaptor having more than one network address. Thalheimer shows: pair of source and destination network addresses generated from an algorithm that generates plurality of source and destination network addresses each associated with one physical transmission path (col. 4 lines 25-64).

Art Unit: 2153

Given this feature, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system shown by Rochberger by employing the features shown by Thalheimer, in order to perform network testing of network interfaces more efficiently (col. 1 lines 49-65).

In referring to claim 44, Thalheimer shows avoiding selection of path that is not operational (fig. 3).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 2, 4-19, 23-24, 26-41, and 45-49 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/429,643

Art Unit: 2153

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita Choudhary whose telephone number is (703) 305-5268. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (703) 305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AC February 6, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100